Extended Skyrme Equation of State in asymmetric nuclear matter (ANM)

Pierre Becker

Institute of Nuclear Physics of Lyon (IPNL)

May 20th 2016 MODE Workshop







1 Neutrons Stars and Nuclear Physics

- 2 SNM and PNM EoS
- Prediction of nuclear quantities for Neutron Stars
- 4 Describing Neutron Star
- 5 Conclusion

Neutron star models



Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone (BBG) calculations

EoS derived by Baldo and al. (1997) popular

Advantages

- EoS in SNM, ANM, quantities associated,...
- Reach high densities
- Realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions

Drawbacks

- Resource-consuming calculations
- One calculation every (n,T,Y)
- Few finite-nuclei calculations

An alternative: effective interactions



Our proposition: Extended Skyrme interaction

$$\begin{aligned} v_{Ex} &= t_0 \left(1 + x_0 P_{\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} t_1 \left(1 + x_1 P_{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathbf{k}^{\prime^2} + \mathbf{k}^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2 \left(1 + x_2 P_{\sigma} \right) \mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{6} t_3 \left(1 + x_3 P_{\sigma} \right) \rho^{\alpha}(R) \end{aligned} \right\} & \begin{array}{l} \text{Standard} \\ \text{Skyrme} \\ (\text{LNS}) \end{array} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} t_1^{(4)} (1 + x_1^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) \left[(\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k}^{\prime 2})^2 + 4(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2^{(4)} (1 + x_2^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) (\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k}^{\prime 2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_1^{(6)} \left(1 + x_1^{(6)} P_{\sigma} \right) (\mathbf{k}^{\prime 2} + \mathbf{k}^2) \left[(\mathbf{k}^{\prime 2} + \mathbf{k}^2)^2 + 12(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2^{(6)} \left(1 + x_2^{(6)} P_{\sigma} \right) (\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \left[3(\mathbf{k}^{\prime 2} + \mathbf{k}^2)^2 + 4(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] . \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Finite-nuclei calculation

A fit: LYVA1

Extended Skyrme Equation of State in ANM

Our proposition: Extended Skyrme interaction

$$\begin{split} v_{Ex} &= t_{0} \left(1 + x_{0} P_{\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2} t_{1} \left(1 + x_{1} P_{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathbf{k}'^{2} + \mathbf{k}^{2}\right] \\ &+ t_{2} \left(1 + x_{2} P_{\sigma}\right) \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{6} t_{3} \left(1 + x_{3} P_{\sigma}\right) \rho^{\alpha}(R) \end{split} \right\} & \begin{array}{l} \text{Standard} \\ \text{Skyrme} \\ (\text{LNS}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} t_{1}^{(4)} (1 + x_{1}^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) \left[(\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{k}'^{2})^{2} + 4(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k})^{2} \right] \\ &+ t_{2}^{(4)} (1 + x_{2}^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) (\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{k}'^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_{1}^{(6)} \left(1 + x_{1}^{(6)} P_{\sigma}\right) (\mathbf{k}'^{2} + \mathbf{k}^{2}) \left[(\mathbf{k}'^{2} + \mathbf{k}^{2})^{2} + 12(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k})^{2} \right] \\ &+ t_{2}^{(6)} \left(1 + x_{2}^{(6)} P_{\sigma}\right) (\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k}) \left[3(\mathbf{k}'^{2} + \mathbf{k}^{2})^{2} + 4(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k})^{2} \right] . \end{split}$$

Finite-nuclei

A fit: LYVA1

Our proposition: Extended Skyrme interaction

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{Ex} &= t_0 \left(1 + x_0 \ P_{\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} t_1 \left(1 + x_1 \ P_{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathbf{k'}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2 \left(1 + x_2 \ P_{\sigma} \right) \mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{6} t_3 \left(1 + x_3 P_{\sigma} \right) \rho^{\alpha}(R) \end{aligned} \right\} & \begin{array}{l} \text{Standard} \\ \text{Skyrme} \\ (\text{LNS}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} t_1^{(4)} (1 + x_1^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) \left[(\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k'}^2)^2 + 4 (\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2^{(4)} (1 + x_2^{(4)} P_{\sigma}) (\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k'}^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_1^{(6)} \left(1 + x_1^{(6)} P_{\sigma} \right) (\mathbf{k'}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2) \left[(\mathbf{k'}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2)^2 + 12 (\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] \\ &+ t_2^{(6)} \left(1 + x_2^{(6)} P_{\sigma} \right) (\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \left[3 (\mathbf{k'}^2 + \mathbf{k'}^2)^2 + 4 (\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 \right] . \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \\ \\ & = \text{Include D and F waves} \\ & = \text{S new parameters} \end{aligned} \quad a \text{A fit: LYVA1} \end{aligned}$$



Neutrons Stars and Nuclear Physics

2 SNM and PNM EoS

- 3 Prediction of nuclear quantities for Neutron Stars
- 4 Describing Neutron Star

5 Conclusion

Fit of EoS in SNM and PNM



SNM and PNM LYVA1 vs BCPM vs BSk



Nuclear channels EoS in SNM Decomposition of SNM EoS on spin-isospin channels



Lesinski, T. and al. (2006), Phys. Rev. C, 74, 044315

Nuclear channels EoS for LYVA1 and BSk





- Neutrons Stars and Nuclear Physics
- 2 SNM and PNM EoS
- 3 Prediction of nuclear quantities for Neutron Stars
- 4 Describing Neutron Star
- 5 Conclusion

Pressure

Constraints from heavy-ion collisions and experiments on kaons



Symmetry energy LYVA1 vs BSk vs BCPM vs LNS



Effective mass





- Neutrons Stars and Nuclear Physics
- 2 SNM and PNM EoS
- 3 Prediction of nuclear quantities for Neutron Stars
- 4 Describing Neutron Star

5 Conclusion

Conclusion

URCA process Allowing fast cooling



Conclusion

Causality Principle



Neutron Star Masses

Solving the TOV equations,



LYVA1 is **compatible** with 2 M_{\odot} NS. Here, we have M=1.96 M_{\odot} .



- 1 Neutrons Stars and Nuclear Physics
- 2 SNM and PNM EoS
- 3 Prediction of nuclear quantities for Neutron Stars
- 4 Describing Neutron Star
- 5 Conclusion

Main results of LYVA1

- Grasp quantities of interest in BBG calc.Easy and analytical.
- EoS for both SNM and PNM
- Causality principle and URCA process
- Available code for stellar calculations

Perspectives

- Properties about polarized matter
- Easy finite-temperature expansion
- Describe both ground state and excited states
- Available code for (neutron-rich) finite-nuclei
- New BBG calcultations on the way

To go further (A&A 585, A83 (2016))

Extended Skyrme Equation of State in asymmetric nuclear matter

D. Davesne¹, A. Pastore², and J. Navarro³

- ¹ Université Lyon 1, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, UMR 5822, CNRS-IN2P3, 43 Bd. du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
- 2 CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France

³ IFIC (CSIC-Universidad de Valencia), Apartado Postal 22085, E-46.071-Valencia, Spain

8th June 2015

ABSTRACT

We present a new equation of state for infinite systems (symmetric, asymmetric and neutron matter) based on an extended Skyrme functional constrained by microscopic Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone results. The resulting equation of state reproduces with very good accuracy the main features of microscopic calculations and it is compatible with recent measurements of two times Solar-mass neutron stars. We provide all necessary analytical expressions to facilitate a quick numerical implementation of quantities of astrophysical interest.

Key words. Effective interaction, Equation of state

1. Introduction

A key ingredient for many astrophysical calculations is a reliable Equation of State (EoS) for isospin asymmetric matter, covering

From Gogny to Skyrme in SNM (Submitted)



Figure 5: (Colors online) EoS in SNM for the D1S interaction (dots) and sum of different partial waves (left). Comparison between the EoS obtained using full HF calculations Eq. 17 (symbols) and the Eq. 20 truncated (dashed-lines) at L = 3 (right). See text for details.

Parametrisation of LYVA1

D. Davesne et al.: Extended Skyrme Equation of State in asymmetric nuclear matter

Table 1. Parameters of the extended LYVA1 Skyrme interaction, with $\alpha = 1/6$, $t_3^{(0)} = 13763$ [MeVfm^{3+ α}], and $x_3^{(0)} = 0.3$.

t ₀ ⁽⁰⁾ [MeVfm ⁵]	$t_1^{(2)}$ [MeVfm ⁵]	$t_2^{(2)}$ [MeVfm ⁵]	t ₁ ⁽⁴⁾ [MeVfm ⁷]	t ₂ ⁽⁴⁾ [MeVfm ⁷]	$t_1^{(6)} [\text{MeVfm}^9]$	t ₂ ⁽⁶⁾ [MeVfm ⁹]
-2518.240	207.300	527.930	-23.691	-68.263	0	0.690
$x_0^{(0)}$	<i>x</i> ₁ ⁽²⁾	x ₂ ⁽²⁾	$x_1^{(4)}$	<i>x</i> ₂ ⁽⁴⁾	$x_1^{(6)} \\ 0$	x ₂ ⁽⁶⁾
0.2537	-0.1688	-1.0131	0.5650	-1.2022		-1.2500

Properties in INM



Fig. 4. (Colors online) Equations of states in asymmetric nuclear matter as a function of the density n and asymmetry parameter Y.

Table 2. Basic SNM properties calculated with the LYVA1 parametrization given in Tab.1, the BCPM and the BSk19-21 functionals at saturation density n₀.

	LYVA1	BCPM	BSk19	BSk20	BSk21	LNS
$n_0 [\text{fm}^{-3}]$	0.169	0.160	0.160	0.160	0.158	0.175
E/A[MeV]	-17.02	-16.00	-16.08	-16.80	-16.05	-15.31
K[MeV]	231	214	237	241	246	211
m^*/m	0.707	1	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.825
Q[MeV]	-463	-881	-298	-282	-274	-384
J[MeV]	33.8	31.9	30.0	30.0	30.0	33.4
L[MeV]	64.5	53.0	31.9	37.4	46.6	61.5
K_{sym} [MeV]	-75.6	-98.1	-191.4	-136.5	-37.2	-127.7
$Q_{sym}[MeV]$	464	877	473	550	710	303

Polarized matter of LYVA1



Fig. 9. (Colors online) Energy difference between PolPNM and PNM for the different models considered in the text.

Polarized matter effective mass



(S,T) channels Equation of state in SNM:

$$\begin{split} E/A\left(S=0,\,T=0\right) &= \frac{3}{160}(1-x_2)\,t_2\,\rho\,k_f^2 + \frac{9}{560}(1-x_2^{(4)})\,t_2^{(4)}\,\rho\,k_f^4 \\ E/A\left(S=0,\,T=1\right) &= 3\left[t_0(1-x_0)\frac{\rho}{16} + \frac{t_3}{96}(1-x_3)\rho^{\alpha+1} + \frac{3}{160}\,t_1(1-x_1)\rho\,k_f^2 + \frac{9}{560}\,t_1^{(4)}(1-x_1^{(4)})\,\rho\,k_f^4\right] \\ E/A\left(S=1,\,T=0\right) &= 3\left[t_0(1+x_0)\frac{\rho}{16} + \frac{t_3}{96}(1+x_3)\rho^{\alpha+1} + \frac{3}{160}\,t_1(1+x_1)\rho\,k_f^2 + \frac{9}{560}\,t_1^{(4)}(1+x_1^{(4)})\,\rho\,k_f^4\right] \\ E/A\left(S=1,\,T=1\right) &= 9\left[\frac{3}{160}(1+x_2)\,t_2\,\rho\,k_f^2 + \frac{9}{560}(1+x_2^{(4)})\,t_2^{(4)}\,\rho\,k_f^4\right] \end{split}$$

This calculations has also been done for neutron matter, asymmetric matter and polarized matter.

See D.Davesne, J.Navarro and al., Phys. Rev. C 91 064303 (2015)

Justification of D and F waves





Justification of D and F waves



More comments about our interaction

The effective mass with the D-wave has a dependency in momentum ($\propto \rho^{\frac{1}{3}}$ in INM):

$$\left(\frac{m}{m^*}\right) = 1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \rho_0 \left[C_0^{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}C_0^{(4)M\rho}k_F^2\right]$$
 . (1)

We could obtain peaked effective mass, meaning good spectroscopic properties.

- Interaction parameters linked to Laudau parameters
- Stability of the Extended Skyrme functional in INM can be checked with LR.
- See Becker, P. and al., (2014), J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys, 42, 034001

Where is the D-wave?

A term such as $(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k})^2$ in the differential equation cause a $\cos^2 \omega_{kk'}$ to appear. We use:

$$P_{\ell}(\cos \omega_{12}) = \frac{4\pi}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m} Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\Omega_{1}) Y_{\ell m}(\Omega_{2})$$
(2)

Contribution in $\ell=2$:

$$\cos^{2}(\omega_{kk'}) = \frac{2}{3}P_{2}(\cos \omega_{kk'}) + \frac{1}{3} \\ = \frac{8\pi}{15}\sum_{m} Y_{2m}^{*}(\Omega_{1})Y_{2m}(\Omega_{2}) + \frac{1}{3}$$
(3)